A sensitive hard X-ray census of the AGN population with NuSTAR

George Lansbury

(IoA Cambridge, Herchel Smith fellowship)

With:

David Alexander (Durham), James Aird (IoA), Poshak Gandhi (Southampton), Daniel Stern (JPL), Mike Koss (Eureka), Agnese Del Moro (MPE), Ezequiel Treister (PUC), Fiona Harrison (Caltech), Roberto Assef (UDP), and many others including The NuSTAR Extragalactic Surveys group





Current hard (> 10 keV) X-ray census:



Outline

- Motivations
- NuSTAR surveys: the serendipitous survey catalogue
 - The sample
 - Synergy with Chandra/XMM/Swift
 - Multiwavelength result highlights
- Hidden treasure: Hunting for highly obscured black hole growth
 - Extremely hard *NuSTAR* sources in the survey
 - The Compton-thick fraction of AGN







Extremely energetic, densely packed objects, powered by accretion onto a supermassive ($\sim 10^5 - 10^{10} M_{\odot}$) black hole (e.g., Lynden-Bell 1969)





At lower energies (<10 keV) Chandra and XMM surveys have resolved 70-90% of the CXB into individual AGN (e.g., Worsley+2006)







NuSTAR surveys resolve ~30% of the CXB peak (Harrison, Aird + 2016)



The CXB peak is at "hard" X-ray energies (>10 keV)

The **CXB shape** predicts:

- unobscured ($N_H < 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}$) AGN
- obscured ($N_{\rm H} > 10^{22} \, {\rm cm}^{-2}$) AGN
- Compton-thick (N_H ≥ 10²⁴ cm⁻²) AGN, which account for a large fraction of supermassive black hole growth (~10-60%; e.g., Treister+2009, Buchner+2015), but are difficult to identify.

Motivation for hard X-ray census of AGN: identifying highly obscured AGN



Hard X-ray surveys have a relatively clean AGN selection function

The Nuclear Spectroscopic Telescope Array (NuSTAR)



(1) Surveys in famous blank fields



COSMOS: Civano+ 2015 ECDFS: Mullaney+ 2015 UDS: Masini+ 2018 EGS: Aird+ in prep. GOODS-N: Del Moro+ in prep.

Total area = **2.8 deg²** Total # sources = **272**

(1) Surveys in famous blank fields



COSMOS: Civano+ 2015 ECDFS: Mullaney+ 2015 UDS: Masini+ 2018 EGS: Aird+ in prep. GOODS-N: Del Moro+ in prep.

Total area = **2.8 deg²** Total # sources = **272**

(2) The serendipitous survey

Wide-area survey, covering a range of depths



Total area (40-month) = **13 deg²** Total # sources (40-month) = **497** Alexander+ 2013; Lansbury+ 2017a

(1) Surveys in famous blank fields



COSMOS: Civano+ 2015 ECDFS: Mullaney+ 2015 UDS: Masini+ 2018 EGS: Aird+ in prep. GOODS-N: Del Moro+ in prep.

Total area = **2.8 deg**² Total # sources = **272**

(2) The serendipitous survey

Wide-area survey, covering a range of depths



Total area (40-month) = **13 deg²** Total # sources (40-month) = **497** Alexander+ 2013; Lansbury+ 2017a

(3) Targeted surveys:

Snapshot survey of Swift BAT AGN: Baloković+ 2014, Baloković+ in prep.
 Legacy survey of bright AGN from XMMLSS, XBootes, and SDSS stripe 82: Kamraj+ in prep.
 Legacy survey of IRAS AGN (NuLANDS): Boorman+ in prep.

(1) Surveys in famous blank fields



COSMOS: Civano+ 2015 ECDFS: Mullaney+ 2015 UDS: Masini+ 2018 EGS: Aird+ in prep. GOODS-N: Del Moro+ in prep.

Total area = **2.8 deg**² Total # sources = **272**

(2) The serendipitous survey

Wide-area survey, covering a range of depths



Total area (40-month) = **13 deg²** Total # sources (40-month) = **497** Alexander+ 2013; Lansbury+ 2017a

(3) Targeted surveys:

Snapshot survey of Swift BAT AGN: Baloković+ 2014, Baloković+ in prep.
 Legacy survey of bright AGN from XMMLSS, XBootes, and SDSS stripe 82: Kamraj+ in prep.
 Legacy survey of IRAS AGN (NuLANDS): Boorman+ in prep.

The NuSTAR serendipitous survey: data flow



Perform for full >20Ms of NuSTAR science data

Lansbury+2017a



Lansbury+ 2017a ApJ 836 99L see electronic article for machine-readable catalog tables, optical spectra, etc.

Area covered	13 deg ²
Sources detected	497
AGN with spec- <i>z's</i>	300
Galactic sources with spec-ID's	20
Faintest hard-band flux (8-24 keV)	1.5 × 10 ⁻¹⁴ erg s ⁻¹ cm ⁻²
Max. effective exposure	1 Ms
Median effective exposure	28 ks



Lansbury+ 2017a ApJ 836 99L see electronic article for machine-readable catalog tables, optical spectra, etc.

Area covered	13 deg ²	
Sources detected	497	→ 763
AGN with spec-z's	300	→ 388
Galactic sources with spec-ID's	20	~60 month statistics, from
Faintest hard-band flux (8-24 keV)	1.5 × 10 ⁻¹⁴ erg s ⁻¹ cm ⁻²	Lizelke Klindt, Durham
Max. effective exposure	1 Ms	
Median effective exposure	28 ks	



Lansbury+ 2017a ApJ 836 99L see electronic article for machine-readable catalog tables, optical spectra, etc. Catalog contents (106 columns in total) include:

- Positions
- Three-band photometry
- Band ratios
- Effective photon indices
- Chandra/XMM/XRT counterparts
- WISE and optical counterparts
- Redshifts
- Luminosities
- Optical classifications
- Optical spectra





- **The NuSTAR source positional accuracy ranges from ≈10" to ≈20"** (Lansbury+ 2017a) ٠
- 79% (395/497) of NuSTAR serendips have soft X-ray counterparts in archival XMM / Chandra / Swift XRT data ٠
 - 284/395 of these counterparts are in the **3XMM** (Rosen+ 2016) and **CSC** catalogs (Evans+ 2010) •
 - 111/395 of these counterparts are newly identified in the XMM / Chandra / XRT archival data •

 \rightarrow XMM/Chandra/XRT essential for refining the X-ray positional accuracy & matching to optical–IR





→ XMM/Chandra/XRT essential for refining the X-ray positional accuracy & matching to optical–IR



→ XMM/Chandra/XRT essential for refining the X-ray positional accuracy & matching to optical–IR







→ XMM/Chandra/XRT essential for refining the X-ray positional accuracy & matching to optical–IR

Multiwavelength properties: Optical followup







90% of the NuSTAR serendipitous survey sources do not have existing optical/IR spectroscopic coverage – ∴ require dedicated followup.

Multiwavelength properties: Optical followup



(NuSTAR Photometric And Spectroscopic Survey)







90% of the NuSTAR serendipitous survey sources do not have existing optical/IR spectroscopic coverage – ∴ require dedicated followup.

Multiwavelength properties: Optical followup (NuPASS)



Type 2 = optically obscured (narrow-line AGN)



Lansbury+2017a

Type 1 = optically unobscured (broad-line AGN)



Multiwavelength properties: Optical followup (NuPASS)



Type 2 = optically obscured (narrow-line AGN)





Type 2 fraction for the 0. 1 < z < 0.5 NuSTAR serendipitous survey sample selected at > 8 keV:

$$f_{\text{Type 2}} = 53^{+14}_{-15}\%$$

.. in agreement with torus covering factors inferred from infrared studies (Mateos+2017)

Multiwavelength properties: host galaxies



- SED modelling being performed for full NuSTAR extragalactic survey sample
- Stellar mass of NuSTAR serendip host galaxies: $\langle M$ $_{\star}
 angle pprox 10^{11}\,{
 m M}_{\odot}$

Hunting for hidden treasures: highly obscured growing black holes in the NuSTAR serendipitous survey







Lansbury+2017b



NuSTAR (+ Chandra/XMM/Swift) spectra for the 8 hardest sources (out of total \approx 300) :

- ≥ half are Compton-thick (CT) $(N_{\rm H} > 1.5 \times 10^{24} {\rm ~cm^{-2}})$
- Elusive at non-X-ray wavelengths, e.g.: Only 1 source (out of 8) selected as an AGN with WISE



≥ half are Compton-thick (CT)

 $(N_{\rm H} > 1.5 \times 10^{24} {\rm ~cm^{-2}})$

Elusive at non-X-ray wavelengths, e.g.: Only 1 source (out of 8) selected as an AGN with WISE

```
NuSTAR J1506 (z = 0.034)
```



NuSTAR J1506 (*z* = 0.034)



Deeper *NuSTAR*(60ks)+*XMM*(30ks) data from 2018 cycle 3 follow-up obs



- Host galaxy in an early-stage major merger
- New local bona-fide CT AGN with log L_x > 43 (of which there are ≈handful)

Hard X-ray Compton-thick (CT) AGN census versus L_x and z



Swift BAT: $f_{\text{CT,intrinsic}} \approx 27\%$ INTEGRAL: $f_{\text{CT,intrinsic}} \approx 17\%$

Hard X-ray Compton-thick (CT) AGN census versus L_X and z



Broad agreement between different NuSTAR hard X-ray samples over range of L_x and z

The low redshift Compton-thick (CT) fraction: data versus models



The *NuSTAR* low-*z* CT fraction is high compared to models.

Lansbury+2017b

The low redshift Compton-thick (CT) fraction: data versus models



The NuSTAR low-z CT fraction is high compared to models. Possible causes: The models – need updating? and/or

The data – low-*z* CT fraction boosted due to environments sampled?

Lansbury+2017b

Future of the sensitive hard X-ray census

• Near future:

The NuSTAR serendipitous survey continues to grow with time, at ≈constant rate, as long as NuSTAR continues operation. Expect to reach sample size of >1000.

Future of the sensitive hard X-ray census

• Near future:

The NuSTAR serendipitous survey continues to grow with time, at ≈constant rate, as long as NuSTAR continues operation. Expect to reach sample size of >1000.

 More distant future: Higher sensitivity hard X-ray mission concept High-Energy X-ray Probe (HEX-P) (see Harrison+2016 white paper)



Summary

- The NuSTAR serendipitous survey:
 - 40-month catalog: resources available online
 - The survey provides a large hard X-ray census of (relatively) distant AGN:
 497 sources (276 spec-ID'd), (z) = 0.56
 - Synergy with Chandra / XMM / XRT catalogs matching to multiwavelength counterparts
 - Type 2 (i.e., optically obscured) fraction, $f_{\rm Type\,2} \approx 53\%$
 - Typical stellar mass of NuSTAR sources: $\langle M_{\star} \rangle \approx 10^{11} \, \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$
- Completing the AGN census by hunting for Compton-thick (CT) AGN:
 - Identified extremely hard sources in the NuSTAR serendipitous survey.
 Modelling the X-ray spectra → new highly obscured & CT AGN
 - The *observed* CT fraction at *z* < 0.07 (*f*_{CT,obs} ≈ 30%) is surprisingly high
 → Do AGN population models need updating for the faint hard X-ray regime?

END